Erratum: Asteroseismology of KIC 11754974: a high-amplitude SX Phe pulsator in a 343-d binary system

by S. J. Murphy,1,2* A. Pigulski,3 D. W. Kurtz,1 J. C. Suárez,4 G. Handler,5 L. A. Balona,6 B. Smalley,7 K. Uytterhoeven,8,9 R. Szabó,10 A. O. Thygesen,11,12 V. Elkin,1 M. Breger,13,14 A. Grigahcène,4 J. A. Guzik,15 J. M. Nemec16 and J. Southworth7

1Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK
2Centro de Astrofísica, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua das Estrelas, P-4150-762 Porto, Portugal
3Institut Astronomiczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, Kopernika 11, PL-51-622 Wrocław, Poland
4Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, Camino Bajo de Huétor 50, Granada E-18008, Spain
5Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Bartycka 18, PL-00-716 Warsaw, Poland
6South African Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 9, Observatory 7935, Cape Town, South Africa
7Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK
8Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
9Department Astronomie, Universität de La Laguna, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
10Konkoly Obs., Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, HAS, H-1121 Budapest, Konkoly Thege Miklósút 15-17, Hungary
11Zentrum Für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Landesternwarte, Königstuhl 12, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
12Nordic Optical Telescope, Apartado 474, E-38700 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain
13Institut für Astronomie, Türkenschanzstraße 17, A-1180 Wien, Austria
14Department of Astronomy, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA
15Los Alamos National Laboratory, XT-2 MS T-086, Los Alamos, NM 87545-2345, USA
16Department of Physics and Astronomy, Camosun College, Victoria, British Columbia V8P 5J2, Canada


The paper ‘Asteroseismology of KIC 11754974: a high-amplitude SX Phe pulsator in a 343-d binary system’ was published in MNRAS, 432, 2284 (2013). An error was inadvertently introduced in this paper during copyediting when the term ‘F0’ was globally replaced by ‘F1’. This error first occurs in section 4.3 of the paper, paragraph 2 of that section. Therefore, the ratio that reads ‘P(F1)/P(F0)’ should have read ‘P(F1)/P(F0)’. Instances of ‘F0’ occurring alone were also affected. The correct Table 6 is shown below. The publisher apologizes for this error.

The paper, paragraph 2 of that section. Therefore, the ratio that reads ‘P(F1)/P(F0)’ should have read ‘P(F1)/P(F0)’. Instances of ‘F0’ occurring alone were also affected. The correct Table 6 is shown below. The publisher apologizes for this error.

Table 6. Characteristics of the best representative rotating model. The first five columns have their usual meanings, then headings are mean density, equatorial rotation velocity, inclination of the stellar rotation axis to the line of sight, fundamental radial mode frequency \( f(F0) \), first overtone radial mode frequency \( f(F1) \), the base-10 logarithm of the period of \( F0 \), and the period ratio of \( F1 \) and \( F0 \). The model has metallicity \[ \text{[Fe/H]} = -0.52 \], convective efficiency, \( \alpha_{MLT} = 0.5 \) and overshooting \( d_{ov} = 0.3 \). See Section 5.2 for model selection criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass (( M_\odot ))</th>
<th>Radius (( R_\odot ))</th>
<th>( T_{\text{eff}} ) (K)</th>
<th>Age (Myr)</th>
<th>log ( g )</th>
<th>( \dot{\rho} ) (cgs)</th>
<th>( v_{\text{eq}} ) (( \text{km s}^{-1} ))</th>
<th>( i ) (deg)</th>
<th>( f(F0) ) (d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( f(F1) ) (d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>log ( P(F0) )</th>
<th>( P(F1)/P(F0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.764</td>
<td>7256</td>
<td>1465.93</td>
<td>4.129</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>34.18</td>
<td>47(^{+7}_{-15})</td>
<td>16.327</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>-1.213</td>
<td>0.773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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